THE PATENT OFFICE OF THE PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA

Post Code: 100088

Address: 6 Xi Tu Cheng Lu, Haidian, Beijing

Applicant:	UNITED VIDEO PROPERTIES, INC.	
Attomey:	LI DESHAN	Date of Notification:
Application No.:	200410030100.5	Date: 02 Month: 09 Year: 2005
Title of the Invention:	CLIENT-SERVER BASED INTERACTIVE TELEVISION	
	PROGRAM GUIDE SYSTEM WITH REMOTE SERVER	
	RECORDING	

Notification of the First Office Action

ne Patent) is/are d and on and	e where the priority at Office where the deemed not to have , where acceptable,
nt Office he Patent) is/are d and on and are not	nt Office where the deemed not to have
ne Patent) is/are d and on and are not	nt Office where the deemed not to have
and are not	
are not	acceptable,
_	avvepuoro,
Jaw.	•
Regulation the	ons of the Patent Law. text portion of this
ments as below: ings sub- ings sub- ings sub- ings sub- on	omitted onomitted on
7	ings sut ings sut ings sut

No.	Number(s) or Title(s) of Reference(s)	D. C. C. C.		
		Date of Publication (or the filing date of conflicting application)		
1	WO9641478A1	Date: 19 Month: 12 Year: 1996		
2		Date:Month:Year:		
3		Date: Month:Year:		
4		Date:Month:Year:		
5		Date: Month: Year:		
	Inclusions of the Action: On the Specification: The subject matter contained in the application is not pater. The description does not comply with Article 26 paragraph. The draft of the description does not comply with Rule 18. In the Claims: Claim(s) is/are not patentable under Article 25 of the Claim(s) does/do not comply with the definition of paragraph 1 of the Implementing Regulations. Claim(s) 1-3, 9-11, 17-19, 25, 29, 33, 37, 39, 41 does/do not Article 22 paragraph 2 of the Patent Law. Claim(s) 4, 12, 20, 26, 30, 34 does/do not possess the inverparagraph 3 of the Patent Law. Claim(s) does/do not possess the practical applicab 4 of the Patent Law. Claim(s) does/do not comply with Article 26 paragraph 3 of the Patent Law. Claim(s) does/do not comply with Article 31 paragraph 3 of the Patent Law. Claim(s) does/do not comply with Article 31 paragraph 3 of the Patent Law. Claim(s) does/do not comply with Article 31 paragraph 3 of the Patent Law. Claim(s) does/do not comply with Article 9 of the 1 of Claim(s) does/do not comply with Article 9 of the 1 of Claim(s) does/do not comply with Article 9 of the 1 of Claim(s) does/do not comply with Article 9 of the 1 of Claim(s) does/do not comply with Article 9 of the 1 of Claim(s) does/do not comply with Article 9 of the 1 of Claim(s) does/do not comply with Article 9 of the 1 of Claim(s) does/do not comply with Article 9 of the 1 of Claim(s) does/do not comply with Article 9 of the 1 of Claim(s) does/do not comply with Article 9 of the 1 of Claim(s) does/do not comply with Article 9 of the 1 of Claim(s)	of the Patent Law. of the Implementing Regulations. The Patent Law. Inventions prescribed by Rule 2 The possess the novelty as required by entiveness as required by Article 22 The possess the novelty as requ		
 In view of the conclusions set forth above, the Examiner is of the opinion that: The applicant should make amendments as directed in the text portion of the Notification. The applicant should expound in the response reasons why the application is patentable and make amendments to the application where there are deficiencies as pointed out in the text portion of the Notification, otherwise, the application will not be allowed. The application contains no allowable invention, and therefore, if the applicant fails to submit sufficient reasons to prove that the application does have merits, it will be rejected. 8. The followings should be taken into consideration by the applicant in making the response: (1) Under Article 37 of the Patent Law, the applicant should respond to the office action within 4 months counting from the date of receipt of the Notification. If, without any justified reason, the time limit is not met, the application shall be deemed to have been withdrawn. (2) Any amendments to the application should be in conformity with the provisions of Article 33 of the Patent Law. Substitution pages should be in duplicate and the format of the substitution should be in conformity with the relevant provision contained in "The Examination Guidelines". (3) The response to the Notification and/or revision of the application should be mailed to or handed over to the "Reception Divisions have no legal effect. (4) Without an appointment, the applicant and/or his agent shall not interview with the Examiner in the Patent Office. 9. This Notification contains a text portion of 6 pages and the following attachments: 				
☑ 1 cited reference(s), totaling 141 pages. □				
EX	mination Dept Examiner: 9507	Seal of the Examination Department		